Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1-10 (2014) Printed 18 December 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

New Luminous Blue Variables in the Andromeda galaxy

O. Sholukhova,[1⋆] D. Bizyaev,[2,3] S. Fabrika, [1,4] A. Sarkisyan,[1] V. Malanushenko,[2] A. Valeev [1,4]

[1] *Special Astrophysical Observatory, Nizhnij Arkhyz, Russia*
[2] *Apache Point Observatory, USA*
[3] *Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*
[4] *Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya 18, 420008 Kazan, Russia*

arXiv:1412.5319v1 [astro-ph.SR] 17 Dec 2014

Accepted 2014

ABSTRACT

We performed spectroscopy of five Luminous Blue Variable (LBV) candidates and two known LBV stars (AE And and Var A-1) in M31. We obtained the same-epoch near-infrared (NIR) and optical spectra of these stars. The NIR spectra were taken with Triplespec spectrograph at the 3.5-m telescope at Apache Point Observatory, and the optical spectroscopy was done with SCORPIO focal reducer at the 6-m BTA telescope (SAO RAS). The candidates demonstrate typical LBV features in their spectra: broad and strong hydrogen lines, HeI, FeII, and [FeII] lines. All our candidates show photometric variability. We develop a new approach to the LBV parameters estimation based on the inherent property of LBVs to change their spectral type at constant bolometric luminosity. We compare the spectral energy distributions of the variable stars obtained in two or more different states and estimate temperatures, reddening, radii and luminosities of the stars using this method. Two considered candidates (J004526.62+415006.3 and J004051.59+403303.0) have to be classified as new LBV stars. Two more candidates are, apparently, B[e]-supergiants. The nature of one more star (J004350.50+ 414611.4) is not clear. It does not show obvious LBV-like variability and remains an LBV-candidate.

Key words: stars: variables: S Doradus - stars: massive - stars: emission-line, Be - infrared: stars - galaxies: individual (M31)

1 INTRODUCTION

Luminous Blue Variables are massive evolved stars of the highest luminosity. Atmospheres of the stars may be highly unstable at the stages when hydrogen is exhausted. Change in ionization state of the most abundant elements determines changes in the gas opacity and in the mass loss rate, thus it creates a variety of LBV observing manifestations. At the maximum visual brightness LBVs show A-F supergiant spectrum, while at the minimum the same star can have a WNL spectrum. The relation between LBVs and B[e] supergiants (B[e]SG) is still unclear (Kraus et al. 2014): they are comparable in luminosities, and similar in spectrum when LBVs are in their hot phase, but B[e]SGs do not change their brightness significantly.

The standard evolution theory does not predict the existence of LBVs. There are two possible explanations for LBVs. In the first one (Humphreys & Davidson 1994; Maeder & Meynet 2000) it is considered that LBV is a transition stage from the Main Sequence to Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars. The second scenario assumes (Meynet et al. 2011) that LBV is a final stage of high mass star's life before Supernova (SN) explosion. An assumption also was made by Smith & Tombleson (2014) that LBV can be a product of stellar evolution in binary systems.

Recent observations of gamma-ray bursts and Supernovae gave an evidence that the SN shock travels through an extended stellar wind envelope, as in LBV or WR. It was shown than stars can explode as SN at both LBV or WR stages (Groh et al. 2013; Groh, Meynet, & Ekström 2013; Gräfener et al. 2012).

LBV is a rare class of stars at a particular stage of evolution. Like other massive stars, they tend to reside close to the galactic midplane, where their study is difficult because of high dust extinctions. An additional problem is the uncertainty in distance estimation. It makes studies of extragalactic LBVs with known distances especially valuable. Humphreys & Davidson (1994) listed five LBVs (four LBV candidates) in the Milky Way and 15

⋆ E-mail: olga@sao.ru

in other Local Group galaxies. Four were identified in M31 and four more in M33. Since that time, 38 LBVs or LBV candidates in the Milky Way have been reported (Vink 2012), 24 in M31, and 37 in M33 (Massey et al. 2007). We have confirmed 4 LBVs in M33 (Fabrika et al. 2005; Valeev, Sholukhova, & Fabrika 2009, 2010). Using the Spitzer Space Telescope archival data one more LBV and several WNL stars in our Galaxy (Gvaramadze et al. 2009, 2010,?) were found. Humphreys et al. (2014) added two more LBV-candidates to M33 and one more to M31.

Massey et al. (2007) classified LBVs by types form their spectral features: cool, hot, and P Cyg LBVs. Humphreys et al. (2014) divided the high luminosity stars by six classes based on their spectrophotometric features: LBVs, FeII emission-line stars, Of/late-WN stars, hot supergiants, intermediate-type supergiants, and warm hypergiants. van Genderen (2001) selected several optical variability types for LBVs: the variables with greater than 0.5 mag amplitude, less than 0.5 mag, ex-/dormant LBVs, and candidates.

Majority of LBVs show an infrared spectral excess, which makes their infrared observations favorable. Oksala et al. (2013) and Kraus et al. (2014) proposed a new classification scheme for LBVs based on their NIR photometry. Massive stars on the (H-K) - (J-H) diagram (JHK-diagram) are subdivided by two groups: B[e]SGs have (H-K) $>$ 0.7 mag, and LBVs show (H-K) $<$ 0.4 mag. This simple criterion is attractive, although derived from a small sample.

The sample of LBVs with known infrared spectra is rather small, just a few for galactic LBVs (Morris et al. 1996; Voors et al. 2000; Groh, Damineli, & Jablonski 2007). Kraus et al. (2014) present IR spectra for 4 LBV candidates, one of which is studied by us in this paper. They also propose to use CO lines for identifying B[e]SGs, and have found two such objects. It is important to collect more NIR spectral observations for deriving reliable classification of the highest luminosity stars.

In the paper we present quasi-simultaneous optical and NIR spectroscopy for LBVs (Var A-1 and AE And) and LBV candidates (J004051.59 +403303.0, J004350.50 +414611.4, J004417.10 +411928.0, J004444.52 +412804.0, J004526.62 +415006.3) in the Andromeda galaxy. Further in the paper we will refer to the stars using their first RA coordinate. We describe their spectra energy distribution (SED) and check their LBV nature. The objects were selected from Massey et al. (2007). Their list consists of 4 known LBVs (AE And, AF And, Var 15, Var A-1), two objects Ofpe/WN9, and 18 more LBV candidates. We adopt the distance to M31 of 752±27 kpc (Riess, Fliri, & Valls-Gabaud 2012).

2 OBSERVATIONS

Optical spectroscopy and photometry were performed with SCORPIO focal reducer (Afanasiev & Moiseev 2005) at the BTA 6-meter telescope in October 2011 and October 2012. The observing log is shown in Table 1. The data were reduced using standard IDL data reduction package.

The NIR spectroscopy was conducted with the TripleSpec spectrograph at the 3.5-m ARC telescope at the Apache Point Observatory in September 2011 and October 2012. The spectra were reduced using Spextool (Cushing, Vacca, & Rayner 2004; Vacca, Cushing, & Rayner 2003).

The BVR photometry was observed simultaneously with the optical spectroscopy. We compare our photometry with the U, B, V, R, I data from Massey et al. (2006) obtained between October 2000 and October 2001. Some stars have additional photometry data points published to date: for Var A-1 and AE And we used U, B, V, R, & I photometry from September 1976, and J, H, & K from November 1980 from Humphreys et al. (1984). For J004051.6 Berkhuijsen et al. (1988) published the U, B, V, R, & I photometry from August - September 1963.

The JHK photometry is available from the 2MASS survey (Skrutskie et al. 2006). To watch the variability, we used two versions of the catalog: 2MASS-1 (Cutri et al. 2003) (December 1998), and 2MASS-2 (Cutri et al. 2012) (November 2000). There are also JHK magnitudes obtained in November 1980 by Humphreys et al. (1984) for Var A-1. The TripleSpec guider at the 3.5-m telescope provides simultaneous K photometry. We estimate the K magnitudes for our objects by the comparison with five non-variable reference stars in the 5-arcmin guider field (using their 2MASS magnitudes).

Accuracy of our optical photometry is not worse than 0.05 mag. The Massey's estimates have 0.01 mag uncertainty, and those by Humphreys et al. (1984) have 0.05 mag uncertainty (0.1 mag in their IR data). Our K estimates have 0.1 mag accuracy, while NIR magnitudes from Berkhuijsen et al. (1988) are 0.2 mag accurate, and the 2MASS-1 and -2 data have 0.1 mag accuracy. Results of the optical and NIR photometry are summarized in Table 2.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Spectroscopy and Spectral Energy Distributions

Fig. 1 shows spectra of seven considered stars in six spectral ranges. The principal lines are identified and marked in Fig. 1: Balmer, Brackett, and Paschen lines, as well as HeI, HeII, FeII, [FeII], and SiII lines. Some of these lines demonstrate P Cyg-type profiles. The spectra are typical for LBV stars. Object J004417.10 has ^{12}CO lines in its spectrum, which is typical for B[e]SGs (an indicator of warm stellar media).

We use the collected photometry (Table 2) and our spectra to study SEDs for our objects. To increase the flux calibration accuracy, we tied our calibration to simultaneous observations in the V and K bands. Fig. 4 demonstrates our optical spectra together with the simultaneous and historical photometric data.

Our spectra allow us to estimate approximate ranges of photospheric temperature (T_{sp} in Table 3). We used simple criteria of the line relative intensities (HeI, HeII 4686 Å, and FeII) in the spectra (Jacoby, Hunter, & Christian 1984). Next, the optical spectra were fitted with a Planck function taking account the extinction with $R_V = 3.07$ (Fitzpatrick 1999).

There is a well-known degeneracy between the reddening and temperature, which makes estimation of the parameters ambiguous. Nevertheless, when the temperature



Figure 1. The spectra of J004051.59, J004350.50, J004417.10, J004444.52, J004526.62, Var A-1, AE And in the optical spectral ranges. The principal strongest lines are identified. Unsigned short and long tick marks designate the FeII and [FeII] lines, respectively. The spectra are left on their original wavelength scale.



Figure 2. The same as in Fig. 1 but in the J NIR range. The IR spectrum of J004350.50 is not shown.



Figure 3. The same as in Fig. 1 but in the H & K NIR ranges. The IR spectrum of J004350.50 is not shown.



Figure 4. The SED modeling. Crosses indicate the B, V, R, K photometry observed simultaneously with our spectra, filled circles are the data by Massey et al. (2006), open circles from Humphreys et al. (1984), and Berkhuijsen et al. (1988) (Table 2). Filled and open squares are the data from 2MASS-1 and 2MASS-2 (Cutri et al. 2003, 2012) respectively. The curves designate the black body approximation with reddening applied according to Table 1. The solid curves show our fits to the optical part of our spectra, the dashed curves — to the data of Humphreys et al. (1984) and Berkhuijsen et al. (1988), and the short dashes — to Massey et al. (2006) data. The IR excesses are clearly seen in the stars. The best-fit temperatures are indicated at the legends in each panel.

Table 1. Spectroscopy observing log. The columns show the object name, the date of NIR Triplespec observations (spectral range 0.95 - 2.46 μ, resolution 5Å), and the optical SCORPIO spectroscopy epochs for the ranges of 3500-7200 Å (resolution 10 Å), 4000 - 5700 Å (resolution 5.5 Å), and 5700-7500 Å (resolution 5.5 Å). The seeing is shown in parentheses.

Object	Date/seeing (arcsec)			
	TripleSpec	SCORPIO		
J004051.59	10.10.12 (1.0)	18.09.12 (1.3)	26.10.11 (1.5)	03.11.11 (1.3)
J004350.50	17.10.12 (1.1)	16.09.12 (1.0)	04.11.11 (1.4)	04.11.11 (1.2)
J004417.10	24.09.11 (1.3)	16.09.12 (1.0)	26.10.11 (1.5)	03.11.11 (1.3)
J004444.52	28.09.11 (1.1)	19.07.12 (2.6)	04.11.11 (1.3)	26.01.12 (1.5)
J004526.62	24.09.11 (0.9)	19.07.12 (2.1)	26.10.11 (1.3)	04.11.11 (1.3)
Var A-1	24.09.11 (1.0)	16.09.12 (1.0)	26.10.11 (1.3)	04.11.11 (1.3)
AE And	28.09.11 (1.2)	16.09.12 (1.0)	27.01.12 (2.0)	26.01.12 (1.5)

Table 2. The photometric data used in the paper. The columns show the object names along with corresponding U, B, V, R, I, J, H, and K magnitudes. For each object, the first line shows our B, V, R, and K photometry made simultaneously with our spectra. The second line shows the data from Massey et al. (2006) obtained between October 2000 and October 2001, and the J, H, and K from 2MASS-1 and 2MASS-2 (Cutri et al. 2003, 2012), obtained in December 1998 and November 2000, respectively. The third line shows the data from Berkhuijsen et al. (1988) for J004051.6 (August - September 1963) and from Humphreys et al. (1984) for Var A-1 and AE And (optical photometry from September 1976, and J, H, & K from November 1980). The data uncertainties are described in the text.

Object	U	B	V	R	I	J	H	K
J004051.59		17.29	16.99	16.76				15.96±0.05
	16.444	17.205	16.989	16.769	16.576	16.38/16.18	15.59/15.98	15.75/15.06
	16.93	17.67	17.43	17.08	16.84			
J004350.50		18.32	17.73	17.19				15.56±0.28
	17.986	18.342	17.700	17.229	16.74	16.25/16.21	15.85/15.80	15.95/15.71
J004417.10		17.37	17.27	17.05				15.2±0.28
	16.494	17.26	17.113	16.78	16.610	15.97/16.06	15.58/15.55	14.73/14.63
J004444.52		19.0	18.16	17.40				14.4±0.1
	18.978	19.062	18.073	17.326	16.561	15.81/15.75	15.23/15.10	14.38/14.26
J004526.62		16.82	16.37	16.08				15.03±0.2
	16.92	17.662	17.36	17.02	16.920	15.93/16.62	15.76/15.84	15.13/15.37
		17.14	16.77	16.47				15.5±0.2
Var A-1	16.75	17.364	17.08	16.76	16.641	15.75/16.14	15.54/16.07	15.46/15.66
	16.13	16.67	16.260	15.76	15.55	15.47	15.24	15.13
		16.77	16.57	16.31				15.9±0.09
AE And	16.616	17.385	17.373	17.242	17.241	16.39/16.90	15.89/16.64	15.89/16.33
	16.29	17.1	17.00	16.66	16.48			

is comparatively low, given the T_{sp} ranges, we can constrain the extinction A_V rather tightly. We also used the Balmer line ratio Hα / Hβ in the case of Var A-1 and J004350.50 to estimate the reddening A_V of surrounding nebulas, (see e.g. Valeev, Sholukhova, & Fabrika 2009). All estimates mentioned above were used as the initial parameters for the further SED fitting.

LBV-type variability allows us to break the degeneracy problem when the star gets cooler and brighter, or hotter and dimmer in the optical bands (Humphreys & Davidson 1994) with about constant bolometric luminosity. The variability should be large enough ($\Delta V \gtrsim 0.2$ mag) and rather slow (at least a few months, Sholukhova et al. (2011)). In this case the constancy of the bolometric luminosity ($\sigma T^4 4\pi R^2$, where R is the stellar radius) is a correct assumption.

We conclude that four object out of seven in our sample show such a slow and large variability. In this case we can fit SED for different data sets assuming that the interstellar reddening $A_V = const$. Assuming $T^4R^2 = const$, we can estimate the photospheric temperature from known V-magnitude in a new state of the star. Fitting the SEDs, we use a black-body approximation for continuum spectra masking strong emission lines and the Balmer jump.

For J004051.59 and J004526.62 we have data from two epochs, which record two different stellar states, and which allow for two temperature solutions. In the case of Var A-1 and AE And, we have data for three solutions, which enables us to constrain the temperatures T_{SED}, A_V, and R even more precisely. We fit each data set, both photometric and spectroscopic. The results of the fitting are summarized in Table 3, where we show the reddening A_V, stellar tem-

peratures, radii, and absolute magnitudes in the V-band and bolometric.

LBVs and B[e]SGs often show infrared excess that is caused by free-free emission or/and thermal dust emission in the near-infrared (JHK) range. The excesses are clearly seen in Fig. 4. In two stars (which we classify below as B[e]-supergiants) the IR excesses are very strong. In our forthcoming paper we will present spectroscopy of more LBVs and LBV candidates in M31. In that paper we will analyze IR excesses of these and new stars to have more representative data.

3.2 Notes on individual objects

J004051.59+403303.0 The brightness and color were estimated by Berkhuijsen et al. (1988): in 1963 it had V = 17.43 ± 0.18 ; Magnier et al. (1992): in 1990 it had V = 17.33, (B - V) = 0.09. Our estimate, V = 16.99 ± 0.05, is well consistent with that by Massey et al. (2006). The collected photometry suggests a gradual increasing of stellar optical brightness. Our optical spectrum is similar to that published by Massey et al. (2006): it has absorption HeI, FeII and SiII 6347, 6371 Å lines. The brightest FeII lines have P Cyg profiles. There are weak [CaII] 7291, 7323 Å emission lines. The data by Berkhuijsen et al. (1988) agree well with a hotter state of this object (Fig. 4 and Table 3), and they are confirmed by the Magnier et al. (1992) data. The photometric variability of this object between its two states (Δ U=0.49, Δ B=0.46) is LBV-like. Given the spectral and photometric variability, and the location on the JHK diagram (Kraus et al. 2014), we conclude that J004051.59 may belong to the LBV class.

J004350.50+414611.4 In the DIRECT project (1996-1997, Stanek et al. 1999) this star is classified as a Miscellaneous Variable (Bonanos et al. 2003). Its variability was also detected by Vilardell, Ribas, & Jordi (2006) ($\Delta B = \Delta V = 0.16$ with uncertainty under 0.01 mag). Our spectra are similar to those by Massey et al. (2007). They have broad Balmer emission lines. FeII lines have P Cyg profiles, but HeI and SiII lines are in the absorption. The interstellar reddening estimated using the emission from surrounding HII regions $A_V = 1.6$ agrees well with estimates made from our SED fitting. Humphreys et al. (2014) classified this star as an intermediate-type supergiant (A5I). The location on the JHK diagram (Kraus et al. 2014) suggests that J004350.50 may belong to the LBV class. The star is similar to LBV by its spectra and luminosity. However, its relatively small brightness variations resemble those of α Cyg type, which may be caused by fluctuations in the stellar wind. The brightness variation amplitude seen up to date does not allow us to classify the star as an LBV. The star may be a dormant LBV, however its nature is not clear yet. It remains an LBV-candidate. We need to look more additional criteria (e.g. to study helium content in its wind) to verify the star's LBV classification.

J004417.10+411928.0 It was referred to as a variable with the amplitude of 0.15 in the V band by Mochejska et al. (2001). We notice its spectral variability. Our spectra show the line HeI 5876 Å while it was not seen in September 1995 by King, Walterbos, & Braun (1998). In addition, FeII emission lines got significantly brighter that in the spectra from Massey et al. (2007). Our spectra show bright lines [CaII] 7291, 7323 Å, which suggests that a warm dust envelope surrounds the star. Kraus et al. (2014) also detected CO lines in the spectra. The CO lines are very weak (although detectable) in our spectra, which can be explained by variability of these lines. That was noticed before in the case of HR Car by Morris et al. (1997). From the presence of [CaII] and CO lines, and from the location at the B[e]SG region on the JHK diagram, Kraus et al. (2014) have concluded that this object is a B[e]SG star. Humphreys et al. (2014) classify it as a FeII-emission line star. Our results confirm these two conclusions.

Interesting that while B[e]SGs do not show significant spectral variability, this star was variable during last 17 years. To date, only one B[e]SG, S18 (Clark et al. 2013), showed such a variability. Note however that classification of S18 as a B[e]SG is not ultimate yet. The spectral variability of J004417.10 requires further investigation.

J004444.52+412804.0 Variability of this star ($\Delta B = 0.27$, $\Delta V = 0.22$) was noticed in the DIRECT project (Stanek et al. 1999). Vilardell, Ribas, & Jordi (2006) confirmed the variability with amplitudes of $\Delta B = 0.30$ and $\Delta V = 0.25$ between 1999 and 2003. Their mean magnitudes correspond to those measured by us (Table 2). Our spectrum is almost identical to that by Massey et al. (2007) and similar to that by Humphreys et al. (2013, 2014). The spectra have emission lines FeII and [FeII]. Helium lines 5876 Å and 6678 Å have P Cyg profiles. We also observe bright emission lines [CaII] 7291, 7323 Å , and ^{12}CO. Humphreys et al. (2013) conclude that the star is a warm supergiant, but their parameters $A_V = 1.5 \div 2.6$ mag, T = 7000 ÷ 9000 K (correspond to F0Ia spectral class) do not agree with our estimates from SED. This spectral class also does not agree with the presence of HeI emission in our spectra, same to the spectra by Massey et al. (2007). The spectrum taken by Humphreys et al. (2013) also shows He I line, however, it also has signatures of O I λ7774, Ca II H and K, Ti II blends in absorption. The latter means a lower gas temperature. Probably, the star has very extended atmosphere with a lower wind ionization in outer parts.

The star locates at the B[e]SG region on the JHK diagram. This is the second bright star (together with J004417.10) located in the B[e]SG region (Kraus et al. 2014) that shows a significant brightness variability. We classify this star as a B[e]SG. However, its optical variability is marginal for LBVs (≈ 0.3 mag). A long-term photometric monitoring of the star is needed to confirm its nature.

J004526.62+415006.3 Vilardell, Ribas, & Jordi (2006) identified this object as a variable star. Our data suggest its clear LBV-like variability: the star reddens when the brightens (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The photometric variability ($\Delta V = 1.0$ mag) is followed by the spectral one: the spectra by Massey et al. (2007) show numerous FeII emission, weak HeI emission, whereas in our spectra FeII got much weaker or even turned to the absorption and no HeI was detected. Our spectrum is cooler than Massey's: our SED suggests T=12000 K, while fitting SED to that from Massey et al. (2007) gives T=18300 K, given $A_V = 1.3 \pm 0.1$. The photometric and spectroscopic variability allow us to classify this object as an LBV.

Humphreys et al. (2014) noticed that the star's spectrum closely resembles that of J004444.52. However, they did not have information about ∼ 1 mag LBV-like variabil-

ity, which we demonstrate in this paper. This gives us a sign that J004444.52 might also show a strong variability in the future.

Var A-1 Our spectra show broad Balmer lines, bright HeI emission, and numerous strong FeII and [FeII] lines. The spectrum obtained by us is similar to that published by Humphreys et al. (2014). We use three data sets to fit SEDs: that by Humphreys et al. (1984) obtained in 1976, ours described in this paper, and photometry by Massey et al. (2006) from 2000 - 2001. The SED fitting suggests T=20,400 K for the maximum brightness by Humphreys et al. (1984), for the intermediate brightness (our data) we find T=25,000K, and T=28,100K for the minimum (Massey et al. 2006). The estimated temperatures agree with the observed spectral features. The reddening A_V = 1.8 mag estimated for the nearby nebula corresponds to our SED estimate ($A_V = 1.7 \pm 0.1$ mag).

AE And Our spectra show broad Balmer lines, and numerous and strong FeII and [FeII] lines. Our spectrum is similar to that shown by Humphreys et al. (2014). We also identify [NiII] 6668, 6813 Å lines, in our spectra, the same lines we find in the spectra published by Szeifert et al. (1996). In the contrast to the spectra by Massey et al. (2007), the [FeIII] and [NII] 5755 Å lines disappear, FeII lines got weaker, and HeI lines are barely seen in our spectra. Due to a good number of photometric data points and the strong variability of the star, we are enabled to employ the data for three well separated in time epochs: our data, photometry by Massey et al. (2006) (2000 - 2001), and photometry by Humphreys et al. (1984) (1976). The obtained temperatures are in a good agreement with the brightness and the spectral features seen in each data set. Our spectra indicate the coolest temperature (T = 18,000 K), the hottest temperature is found for Massey's data (T = 25,400 K), and the intermediate temperature is found for Humphreys's data (T = 21,600 K). We find $A_V = 1.0 \pm 0.1$ mag. Since our analysis is based on the data sets corresponding to the different states of the LBV stars, we believe that the parameters derived by us are more reliable.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We develop a new method of SED fitting applicable for LBV stars. It breaks a well-known reddening-stellar temperature degeneracy by an additional assumption that the bolometric luminosity stays constant while the optical V-brightness may vary significantly. This is an inherent property of LBVs to change their spectral type keeping its bolometric luminosity constant. Having the bolometric luminosity and the reddening fixed, we calculate the V-magnitudes and the stellar temperatures for different states of LBVs. Our approach is successfully verified with two known LBVs in the Andromeda galaxy: Var A-1 and AE And.

All considered LBV candidates show spectra typical for either LBVs or B[e]SGs. The luminosity ranges are in agreement with those for known LBVs in M31. All the stars show brightness variability, both in ours and literature data. In two stars, J004417.10 and J004526.62, we have also detected spectral variability. We conclude that two studied stars, J004051.59 and J004526.62, are LBVs, because they show significant brightness variability. Since J004350.50 does not show sufficient variability, we can not classify the star confidenly, although in its spectrum, luminosity and location in the JHK diagram the star is similar to LBVs. The star does not show an obvious LBV-like variability and therefore, it remains an LBV-candidate. One needs to use additional criteria (e.g. helium content) to clarify its nature.

We identify two stars J004417.10 and J004444.5 as B[e]-supergiants. They have an excess in the JHK bands, especially prominent in the K. They are located in the B[e]SG region on the JHK diagram (Kraus et al. 2014). Nevertheless, both stars indicated variability, J004417.10 changed its spectrum, whereas J004444.5 changed its optical brightness. Because of the variability we do not exclude that the B[e]SG classification of these stars can be changed in the future.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation (grant N 14-50-00043), is partly supported by RFBR grants N 13-02-00885, "Leading Scientific Schools of Russia" 2043.2014.2. D.B. was partly supported by RSF grant RSCF-14-22-00041. S.F. acknowledges support of the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University. Based on observations obtained with the Apache Point Observatory 3.5-meter telescope, which is owned and operated by the Astrophysical Research Consortium. We thank our referee, K. Davidson, whose constructive comments and suggestions significantly improved the paper.

REFERENCES

Afanasiev V. L. & Moiseev A. V., 2005, AstL, 31, 194
Berkhuijsen E. M., Humphreys R. M., Ghigo F. D., Zumach W. 1988, A&AS, 76, 65
Bonanos A. Z. et al., 2003, AJ, 126, 175
Clark J. S., et al., 2013, A&A, 560, A10
Cushing M. C., Vacca W. D., Rayner J. T., 2004, PASP, 116, 362
Cutri R. M., et al., 2003, yCat, 2246, 0
Cutri R. M., et al., 2012, yCat, 2281, 0
Fabrika S., et al., 2005, A&A, 437, 217
Fitzpatrick E. L., 1999, PASP, 111, 63
Gräfener G., Vink J. S., Harries T. J., Langer N., 2012, A&A, 547, A83
Groh J. H., Damineli A., Jablonski F., 2007, A&A, 465, 993
Groh J. H., Meynet G., Georgy C., Ekström S., 2013, A&A, 558, A131
Groh J. H., Meynet G., Ekström S., 2013, A&A, 550, L7
Gvaramadze V. V., et al., 2009, MNRAS, 400, 524
Gvaramadze V. V., et al., 2010a, MNRAS, 403, 760
Gvaramadze V. V., et al., 2010b, MNRAS, 405, 520
Humphreys R. M., 1975, ApJ, 200, 426
Humphreys R. M., Blaha C., D'Odorico S., Gull T. R., Benvenuti P., 1984, ApJ, 278, 124
Humphreys R. M., Davidson K., 1994, PASP, 106, 1025
Humphreys R. M., et al., 2013, ApJ, 773, 46
Humphreys R. M., Weis K., Davidson K., Bomans D. J., Burggraf B., 2014, ApJ, 790, 48

Table 3. Results of our SED modeling. The columns show the object name, the photosphere temperature range preliminary estimated from spectra, the best-fit temperature, the reddening, the stellar radius in the solar units, the V-band and bolometric absolute magnitudes.

Object	T_{sp}, K	T_{SED}, K	A_V, mag	$R/R_\odot$	M_V, mag	M_{bol}, mag
J004051.59	18000 - 24000	22000±2000	1.5±0.1	90	-9.0	-10.9±0.2
J004350.50	10000 - 15000	13000±2500	2.0±0.2	130	-8.7	-9.4±0.2
J004417.10	15000 - 20000	18000±1000	1.0±0.2	70	-8.1	-9.6±0.1
J004444.52	15000 - 20000	18000±2000	3.6±0.1	160	-9.8	-11.2±0.2
J004526.62	10000 - 15000	12000±2000	1.3±0.1	200	-9.4	-10.0±0.2
Var A-1	20000 - 27000	25000±1000	1.7±0.1	90	-9.3	-11.5±0.1
AE And	15000 - 20000	18000±1000	1.0±0.2	100	-8.9	-10.3±0.2

Jacoby G. H., Hunter D. A., Christian C. A., 1984, ApJS, 56, 257
Kenyon S. J., Gallagher J. S. III, 1985, ApJ, 290, 542
King N. L., Walterbos R. A. M., Braun R., 1998, ApJ, 507, 210
Kraus M., Cidale L. S., Arias M. L., Oksala M. E., Borges Fernandes M., 2014, ApJ, 780, L10
Maeder A., Meynet G., 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
Magnier E. A., et al., 1992, A&AS, 96, 379
Massey P., Olsen K. A. G., Hodge P. W., Strong S. B., Jacoby G. H., Schlingman W., Smith R. C., 2006, AJ, 131, 2478
Massey P., et al., 2007, AJ, 134, 2474
Meynet G., et al., 2011, BSRSL, 80, 266
Mochejska B. J., Kaluzny J., Stanek K. Z., Sasselov D. D., 2001, AJ, 122, 1383
Morris P. W., Eenens P. R. J., Hanson M. M., Conti P. S., Blum R. D., 1996, ApJ, 470, 597
Morris P. W., Voors R. H. M., Lamers H. J. G. L. M., Eenens P. R. J., 1997, ASPC, 120, 20
Oksala M. E., Kraus M., Cidale L. S., Muratore M. F., Borges Fernandes M., 2013, A&A, 558, A17
Riess A. G., Fliri J., Valls-Gabaud D., 2012, ApJ, 745, 156
Sholukhova O. N., Fabrika S. N., Zharova A. V., Valeev A. F., Goranskij V. P., 2011, AstBu, 66, 123
Skrutskie M. F., et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
Smith N., Tombleson R., 2014, arXiv, arXiv:1406.7431
Stanek K. Z., et al., 1999, AJ, 117, 2810
Szeifert T., et al., 1996, A&A, 314, 131
Vacca W. D., Cushing M. C., Rayner J. T., 2003, PASP, 115, 389
Valeev A. F., Sholukhova O., Fabrika S., 2009, MNRAS, 396, L21
Valeev A. F., Sholukhova O. N., Fabrika S. N., 2010, AstBu, 65, 381
van Genderen A. M., 2001, A&A, 366, 508
Vilardell F., Ribas I., Jordi C., 2006, A&A, 459, 321
Vink J. S., 2012, ASSL, 384, 221
Voors R. H. M., et al., 2000, A&A, 356, 501